Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/527,309	WILSON, STEVEN B.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan Y Chen	2171
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<del></del>
(1) <u>Susan Y Chen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. John B. Conklin.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 April 2004</u>	Time: <u>10:30 AM and 2PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	PAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	s DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet	CALIVATORE OF WITH WAS	DIOCOCCED.
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requests to restrict the instant application at the first interview as following:

Group 1: Claims 1-14, 27, and 30, drawn to computer to computer protocol implementing, classified in class 709, subclass 230:

Group 2: Claims 15-26, 29, 31 and 32, drawn to Network printing system communication, classified in class 358, subclass 1.15;

Group 3: Claim 28, drawn to object oriented database structure processing, classified in class 707, subclass 103Y. A provisional election of Group 3 with traversal was elected by Mr. John B. Conklin at the second interview.